## REMARKS

The Office Action dated January 12, 2007 states that the Applicant's previous response dated October 19, 2006 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action.

Applicant herein amends claim 1 to correct the 35 USC section 112 rejections. Claim 1 is amended to recite "wherein a task has one or more ~~stages~~ sequences and is completed by progressing from a first ~~context~~ panel to a second ~~context~~ panel..." Support for these amendments can be found at page 21, lines 12-19 ("sequences") and page 21, line 20 to page 22, line 18 ("sequences" and "panels"). Support can also be found at page 26, lines 10-12 and lines 24-25 ("panel").

Claim 1 is also amended to recite "for maintaining a context and a ~~context~~ task list component in the ~~user's~~ progress of completing a task..." Support for this amendment can be found at page 26, lines 15-20 ("task list component").

Applicant now addresses the Section 103(a) rejection of the claims being unpatentable over *Lee* in view of *Bernardo*.

With respect to the Section 103(a) rejection, claim 1 is amended to include "maintaining a context and a task list component in the user's progress of completing a task for the purpose of creating a Web site." Support for the "task list component" can be found, for example, at page 26, lines 15 to 20 of the specification, describing a task list component. This component, as well as others, is responsible for the management of contextual information in the system. The overall goal of the user is to create a "first version" of a complete Web site. To accomplish this, the user performs a series of tasks (e.g., completing a "Product Catalog" page or an "Order and Payment" page), where each task has one or more stages. These stages are completed as the user progresses through a series of panels. The integrated platform recited in claim 1 enables a user to do this and keeps track of what "stage" a user is in during the Web site building process by maintaining a context and a task list component.

The *Bernardo* reference teaches a tool for creating a Web site. One feature is that when modifying content, the tool uses a pre-designed workflow process. A workflow module may be

5

used to route the proposed content of a Web site to authorized users for their input, edits, comments, and the like. Once the content has been approved for posting, the workflow module may post the content on the Web site. A company creating a Web site may assign various tasks for editing or checking the content to different departments. Thus, the workflow module may include routing instructions and other workflow options.

The *Bernardo* reference does not teach, show or suggest "maintaining a context and a task list component" with respect to the progressing through completing a specific task in creating the Web site. *Bernardo* teaches a user directory, including a list or database of users (see col. 11, lines 20-25). However, this list is not a task list component as recited in claim 1 and described in the present application.

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER LLP

Rupak Nag
Reg. No. 37,493

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
Telephone: (612) 252-3335

6